



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/743,516 | 01/31/2001 | Martin Braddock | 1430-261 | 4022 |
| 23347 | 7590 05/19/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| DAVID J LEVY, CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GLAXOSMITHKLINE FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398 | | | PRIEBE, SCOTT DAVID | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1632 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 |
|-----|
| 3 |
| (' |

Notice of Abandonment

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/743,516 | BRADDOCK ET AL. | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Scott D. Priebe | 1632 | | | |

| Motice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Scott D. Priebe | 1632 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence ac | idress |
| | | | |
| his application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | / CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); CFR 1.114). | or (3) a timely liled | Requestion |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below). | empt at a proper rep | oly, to the non- |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | 5). received on (with a Certific | ate of Mailing or T | ransmission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$_ | · |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requested. Allowability (PTO-37). | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra | nsmission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the as | signee of the entire | interest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferonder of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | rence rendered on and becau ms. | se the period for se | eeking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| | | SWHD | .Cnih |
| | | | |

Scott D. Priebe Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20040518